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Abstract: In this paper, we consider a class of fractional order time-delay systems and propose a fractional order PI^D^ȝ control design for their stabilization. The controller parameter’s adjustment is achieved in two steps: first, the relay approach is used to compute satisfactory classical PID coefficients, namely kp, Ti and Td. Then, the fractional orders λ and μ are optimized using performance criteria. Simulation results show the efficiency of the proposed design technique and its ability to enhance the PID control performance.

Keywords: Fractional order control, Time-delay systems, Fractional PI^D^ȝ controller, Relay method, Parameters adjustment.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fractional calculus is an old mathematical analysis concept which is attracting great interest nowadays [1-3]. Initially introduced pure mathematics, recently, FC has invested various engineering domains [4-5]. Fractional order models have proven to better represent many physical systems, like dielectric polarization, semi-infinite transmission lines, viscoelasticity...etc. [6]. In the automatic control engineering field, an important effort is done towards design and development and application of fractional order controllers with various control strategies [7-8].

Recently, a great number of researchers focused their work on the stability analysis of fractional order time-delay systems [9-12]. Finite-time stability has been investigated in [13-15], while different stability criteria for this class of systems have been proposed in literature [16-20]. Stability of linear fractional order systems with delays has been studied in many papers [21], also fractional-order nonlinear systems with delay [22-23], neutral fractional-delay systems [24-25] and fractional-order time-varying delay systems [26].

In the field of control engineering, the introduction of fractional operators and systems has proven certain ability for performance enhancement [27]. One of the pioneering works was developed by Podlubny in 1999 who has proposed a generalized form for PID controllers, namely PIADμ controllers, using an integrator of order λ and a differentiator of order μ (where λ and μ have real values) [28]. He showed that the fractional order PID control improves the control system performance in comparison with the classical PID controller because of the extra real parameters λ and μ involved. The implementation of this fractional controller needs these non-integer operators to be approximated, using frequency domain approximations such as Oustaloup’s method [29] and Charef’s method [30]...

However the problem of PID controllers’ tuning remains an important issue [31-32]. There exist many adjusting techniques that do not require any model of the plant to control. All that is needed to apply such rules is to have time response of the plant. Examples of such sets of rules are those due to Ziegler and Nichols, Cohen and Coon, and the Kappa-Tau rules [33]. In particular, the Ziegler-Nichols method [34] still remains popular, particularly in industry. An interesting similar modern approach is based on the relay auto tuning [35].

In this paper, a fractional order PI^D^ȝ and PIADμ control design technique is proposed for a class of fractional order Time-Delay systems. The controller parameter’s adjustment is achieved in two steps: first, the relay approach is used to compute satisfactory classical PID coefficients, namely...
kp, Ti and Td. Then, the fractional orders λ and μ are optimized using performance criteria.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, the design of both integer order PI and PID controllers with relay feedback method is given. Charef’s approximation method for fractional order systems is introduced in Section 3, whereas the proposed design methodology for fractional order PI and PID controllers is given in section 4. In section 5, simulation examples about integer and fractional time delay systems are presented. Concluding remarks are given in Section 6.

2. DESIGN OF INTEGER ORDER PID CONTROLLERS FOR TIME-DELAY SYSTEMS

\[ C(s) = k_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{T_1s}\right) \]  

\[ G(s) = \frac{z_m s^n + z_{m-1} s^{n-1} + \ldots + z_0}{q_n s^n + q_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \ldots + q_0} \]  

Where zi, qi are real parameters and m, n are integer positive numbers.

The open loop transfer function \( L(s) \) of the control system is given as,

\[ L(s) = C(s)G(s) \]  

The transfer function of integer order PID is given by

\[ C(s) = k_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{T_1s} + T_d s\right) \]  

The relay method is based on determining the critical gain and the critical frequency as illustrated in the Matlab Simulink diagram of Fig. 1. The relay input-output characteristic is given in Fig. 2 and the relay output is shown in Fig. 3.

\[ K_u = \frac{4h}{\pi a} \]  

Where \( h \) represents the amplitude of the relay actuation and \( a \) is the amplitude of the resulting oscillation. Both the values for the critical frequency and gain are approximate values based on using the describing function analysis for the system.

For a typical plant the oscillation obtained in the test occurring at the relay input is as shown in Figure 4. The critical frequency is taken as the measured frequency and the critical gain \( K_u \) is obtained by the formula,

The relay feedback of integer plant.

The relay input-output characteristic.

The output of closed loop control system with relay.

Fig. 4 Output of closed loop control system with relay.
Table 1 ZIEGLER NICHOLS FORMULA

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$k_p$</td>
<td>$T_i$</td>
<td>$T_d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>$K_u / 2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$PI$</td>
<td>$K_u / 2.2$</td>
<td>$P_u / 1.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$PID$</td>
<td>$K_u / 1.7$</td>
<td>$P_u / 2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. CHARERF’S APPROXIMATION METHOD FOR FRACTIONAL ORDER SYSTEMS

This method proposed by Charef et al. [30], is based on the approximation of a function of the form:

$$H(s) = \frac{1}{(1 + sT)^\alpha}$$

Where $1/P_T$ is the relaxation time constant and $0 < \alpha < 1$.

$$\tilde{H}(s) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1 + s}{1 + s/P_i}$$

We define:

$$a = 10^{\frac{\gamma}{10(\alpha - 1)}}, b = 10^{\frac{\gamma}{10\alpha}}, ab = 10^{\frac{\gamma}{10\alpha(\alpha - 1)}}$$

Therefore, we can obtain the distribution of poles and zeros as:

$$p_0 = p_T \sqrt{b}, p_i = p_0 (ab)^i, z_i = ap_0 (ab)^i$$

D. Fractional order $P^{\lambda}D^{\mu}$ controller realization:

The transfer function of the fractional order $P^{\lambda}D^{\mu}$ controller is given in the frequency domain by the following irrational function,

$$C(s) = K_p + \left[ \frac{T_i}{s} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{s^{m_1}} \right] + \left[ T_d s^\mu \right] \left( s^{m_0} \right)$$

(11)

Where $(T_i s)$ is a first-order integrator, $(1/s^m)$ is a fractional order integrator with $0 < m_1 < 1$, $(T_d s)$ is a first order differentiator and $(s^{m_0})$ is a fractional order differentiator with $0 < m_d < 1$.

In order to represent the fractional $P^{\lambda}D^{\mu}$ controller of (10) by a linear time-invariant system model, it is necessary to approximate its irrational transfer function by a rational one. Hence, in a given frequency band of practical interest $(w_L, w_H)$, the fractional-order integrator can be modeled by an FPP and the fractional-order differentiator by an FPZ.

$$C(s) = K_p + \left[ \frac{T_i}{s} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{s^{m_1}} \right] + \left[ T_d s^\mu \right] \left( s^{m_0} \right)$$

(12)

4. FRACTIONAL PID CONTROLLER DESIGN

Fractional order $P^{\lambda}D^{\mu}$ parameters adjustment remains one of the major issues in fractional order control domain [37]. This control design technique is proposed for a class of fractional order Time-Delay systems. The controller parameter’s adjustment is achieved in two steps:

- First, the relay approach is used to compute satisfactory classical PID coefficients, namely $k_p$, $k_i$ and $k_d$.
- Then, the fractional orders $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are optimized using performance specifications like the rise time, the peak time, the settling time, and the overshoot and the following and quadratic error performance criteria,

$$J = \sqrt{\int_0^T e(t)^2 dt}$$

(13)
The cost function $J$ is minimized relatively to the fractional integral and derivative orders in order to find the best controller tuning.

5. SIMULATION EXAMPLES

Consider a delayed plant represented by its transfer function,

$$G(s) = \frac{26e^{-s}}{16.2s + 1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

The step response of the closed loop system with unity feedback is shown in Fig. 5, which is an oscillating response.

From the Simulink diagram shown in Fig. 5, critical values are calculated. And from Fig. 6 we obtain the parameters shown in Table 2.

![Fig. 5 Step response of the closed loop system with unit feedback.](image)

![Fig. 6 Output of closed loop of control system with relay.](image)

### Table 2 Critical values using the relay method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amplitude ($a$)</th>
<th>Oscillating period ($Pu$)</th>
<th>Critical gain ($Ku$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.881</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E. Design of PI controller

The design of PI controller using table 1, is given by:

$$k_p = \frac{K_u}{2} = \frac{0.43}{2} = 0.195$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

$$T_i = \frac{P_u}{1.2} = \frac{8.9}{1.2} = 7.417$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

From these parameters, we obtain both, integer and fractional order PI controllers as follow:

$$C(s) = 0.195(1 + \frac{0.135}{s})$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

$$C_F(s) = 0.195(1 + \frac{0.135}{s^\lambda})$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

The step response of closed loop control system with both integer and fractional PI controllers are shown in Fig. 7.

![Fig. 7 Step responses of closed loop control system with fractional PI controller for different values of $\lambda$.](image)

![Fig. 8 Step responses of closed loop control system with integer PI controller for different values of $\lambda$.](image)

### Table 3 Step Response Performance Values for Different Values of $\lambda$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
<th>$t_{r(s)}$</th>
<th>$t_{p(s)}$</th>
<th>$M_p$ (%)</th>
<th>$J$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>11.19</td>
<td>67.23</td>
<td>44.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>10.64</td>
<td>19.13</td>
<td>18.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>10.47</td>
<td>22.52</td>
<td>17.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Specification values for the step responses for different $\lambda$ are given in Table 3.
From table 3 we can conclude that with decreasing the value of $\lambda$, values of rise time, peak time, settling time, overshoot and quadratic (square) error, decrease. For the interval $[1-1.5]$ and from the previous results the best value of $\lambda$ for the fractional order PI controller is equal to 1.1 for our system. We can also see that the quadratic error tends to zero in steady state. On the other hand, we get infinitive values in the range of $[0.1-0.5]$.

F. Design of PID controller

![Image](image.png)

The design of the PID controller using table 1, and Figure 8 is given by:

$$C_p(s) = 0.25(1 + \frac{0.23}{s^{\lambda}} + 1.11s^{\mu})$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

The step responses of closed loop control system with integer and fractional order PID controllers are shown in Fig. 9. Specification values for the step responses for different values of $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are given in table 4.

Table 4 shows that, when the value of $\lambda$ is fixed at 1.1 in the range $[1.1-1.5]$ for values of $\mu$, values of rise time, peak time, settling time and overshoot decrease with decreasing the value of $\mu$, with a finite quadratic error at steady state. And the best value of $\mu$ which give us the best performances is equal to 1.1.

![Image](image.png)

Otherwise, choosing values of $\lambda$ and $\mu$ less than zero give an infinitive quadratic error in steady state which means an unstable system despite the good values of other performances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
<th>$\mu$</th>
<th>$t_r$</th>
<th>$t_p$</th>
<th>$t_s$</th>
<th>$M_p$</th>
<th>$J$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>32.20</td>
<td>20.33</td>
<td>22.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>11.59</td>
<td>21.42</td>
<td>19.44</td>
<td>19.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>9.97</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>17.59</td>
<td>18.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>13.47</td>
<td>45.72</td>
<td>32.80</td>
<td>50.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.44</td>
<td>8.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.44</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.218</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. CONCLUSION
In this paper, a simple and efficient methodology for fractional order PID control design for a class of fractional order systems with delay is proposed. The controller parameter’s adjustment is achieved in two steps: first, the relay approach is used to compute satisfactory classical PID coefficients, namely kp, Ti and Td. Then, the fractional orders λ and μ are optimized using performance criteria. Simulation results show the efficiency of the proposed design technique and its ability to enhance the fractional order PID control performance.
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